

Chapman, Gary

From: Debbie Roberts [REDACTED]
Sent: 05 January 2023 10:20
To: Morgan and Morecambe OWFTA
Cc: Anthony Akrigg
Subject: FW: Morecambe/Morgan Offshore Windfarm.

Good morning

Thank you for your letter ref EN020028 of the 28th October 2022

Further to my e mail of the 21st November to MS Feekins-Bate, please see below a response from the Councils Environmental Health team who ask that their comments be taken into account during any future determination of the proposal

Should you have any questions please don't hesitate to contact either myself or Mr Akrigg

Best regards

Debbie Roberts
Development Management Team Leader



From: Anthony Akrigg [REDACTED]
Sent: 04 January 2023 13:28
To: Debbie Roberts [REDACTED]
Subject: Morecambe/Morgan Offshore Windfarm.

Debbie,

Further to our discussion earlier I just wanted to raise a couple of concerns I have in relation to the onshore Transmission Assets.

I've read the Scoping Report for the EIA October 2022 and in particular Section 8.4 Noise & Vibration which has lead to a number of queries as below;

- 8.4.2.3: the final bullet point refers to '*vibration sensitive receptors within 100m*'; experience has shown that the effects of piling etc can cause problems at receptors beyond 100m so just wondered where the 100m has come from and the technical reasoning behind it?
- Operational noise at the sub-station at Penwortham has been discounted; are we right to presume this will be the case? Previous stations at Howick Cross Lane have cooling operations that produce operational noise which has been the subject of noise impact assessments – will the new transmission assets just be essentially plugged into the national grid or will there be the need for any potentially noisy operations (outside maintenance)?
- If this was an application subject to Conditions set by South Ribble Borough Council then we would certainly look at a Construction Management Plan to include items such as dust control, hours/days of construction, noise impacts and there control etc. Would I be right in thinking a similar idea will be put in place for this development?

I realise there will probably only be a small number of residential receptors affected by the onshore assets and their installation at South Ribble, but thought it best to raise concerns at this stage.

I'm happy to deal directly with your contact if that would help.

Kind regards.

Tony

Anthony Akrigg
Environmental Health Officer

This e-mail and any attached files are confidential and may also be legally privileged. They are intended solely for the intended addressee. If they have come to you in error you must not use, copy or communicate them to anyone. Please advise the sender and permanently delete the e-mail and attachments. Please note that while South Ribble Borough Council has policies in place requiring its staff to use e-mail in an appropriate manner, any views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender may not necessarily reflect the views of South Ribble Borough Council. South Ribble Borough Council may monitor e-mails sent or received.